Filomat 29:5 (2015), 1085-1092 DOI 10.2298/FIL1505085Y



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

Conservative Matrices in Summability of Series

Dansheng Yu^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou Zhejiang 310036 China.

Abstract. Das [3] introduced the class of absolute *k*th-power conservative matrices for $k \ge 1$, denoted by $B(A_k)$. In the present paper, we generalize the class $B(A_k)$ to a general one named $B(\alpha_n, \beta_n; \gamma_n, \delta_n; \varphi)$ and give some sufficient conditions for a matrix belongs to the new class $B(\alpha_n, \beta_n; \gamma_n, \delta_n; \varphi)$ when φ is convex. As applications of the general result, we investigate the conservatives of Cesáro matrices and Riesz matrices.

1. Introduction

Let $\{s_n\}$ be the partial sums of the infinite series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$. The Cesáro means of order α of the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ are defined by

$$\sigma_n^{\alpha} := \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha}} \sum_{j=0}^n A_{n-j}^{\alpha-1} s_j, \ n = 0, 1, \cdots,$$

where

$$A_n^{\alpha} := \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(n+1)}, \quad n = 0, 1, \cdots$$

Let (C, α) be the Cesáro matrix of order α , that is, (C, α) be the lower triangular matrix $\left(A_{n-\nu}^{\alpha-1}/A_n^{\alpha}\right)$. Flett [4] introduced the concept of absolute summability of order k. A series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ is summable $|C, \alpha|_k$, $k \ge 1$, $\alpha > -1$, if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{k-1} \left| \sigma_{n-1}^{\alpha} - \sigma_n^{\alpha} \right|^k < \infty.$$

In 1970, Das [3] defined the so-called absolutely kth-power conservative matrix as follows: A matrix $T := (t_{nj})$ to be absolutely *k*th-power conservative for $k \ge 1$, denoted by $T \in B(A_k)$, that is, if $\{s_n\}$ satisfies

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |s_n - s_{n-1}|^k < \infty,$$

Keywords. Conservative Matrices, summability of series.

Communicated by Dragan Djordjević

Research of the author is supported by NSF of China (10901044), and program for Excellent Young Teachers in HZNU Email address: dsyu_math@163.com (Dansheng Yu)

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 40F05, 40D25

Received: 11 September 2013; Accepted: 27 November 2013

then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} \left| t_n - t_{n-1} \right|^k < \infty,$$

where

$$t_n = \sum_{j=0}^n t_{nj} s_j.$$

Flett [4] established the following inclusion theorem for $|C, \alpha|_k$. If the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ is summable $|C, \alpha|_k$, it is also summable for $|C, \alpha|_r$ for each $r \ge k \ge 1$, $\alpha > -1$, $\beta > \alpha + \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{r}$. Especially, a series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ which is $|C, \alpha|_k$ summability is also $|C, \beta|_k$ summability for $k \ge 1$, $\beta > \alpha > -1$. If one sets $\alpha = 0$, from the above inclusion result, we have

Theorem A. Let $k \ge 1$, then $(C, \alpha) \in B(A_k)$ for $\alpha > -1$.

Many authors have devoted themselves to generalize the results of Flett ([1], [2], [5], [6]). For example, the most recent works on this topic can be found in [5] and [6].

We first generalize the concept of the absolutely kth-power conservative to the following

Definition 1.1. Let $\varphi(x)$ be a nonnegative function defined on $[0, \infty)$, $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$, $\{\gamma_n\}$ and $\{\delta_n\}$ be nonnegative sequences. We say that a matrix

$$T:=(t_{nj})\in B(\alpha_n,\beta_n;\gamma_n,\delta_n;\varphi),$$

if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \varphi\left(\beta_n \left| s_n - s_{n-1} \right| \right) < \infty,$$

implies that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n \varphi\left(\delta_n \left| t_n - t_{n-1} \right| \right) < \infty.$$

If $\alpha_n = \gamma_n = n^{-1}$, $\beta_n = \delta_n = n$, $\varphi(x) = x^k$, $k \ge 1$, then $B(\alpha_n, \beta_n; \gamma_n, \delta_n; \varphi)$ reduces to $B(A_k)$.

We will give a general result (Theorem 2.1) on the sufficient conditions for a matrix belongs to $B(\alpha_n, \beta_n; \gamma_n, \delta_n; \varphi)$ when φ is convex. As applications of the general result, we investigate the conservatives of Cesáro matrices and Riesz matrices (see Theorem 3.3-Theorem 3.5). Among them, Theorem 3.3 is an essential generalization of Theorem A in the case when $\alpha \ge 0$ (see remark after Theorem 3.3).

2. Main Result

Let $T := (t_{nj})$ be a lower triangular matrix, $\lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$ be a positive sequence. Set

$$\widetilde{t}_{ni} := \begin{cases} \sum_{j=i}^{n} t_{nj} - \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} t_{n-1,j}, & 0 \le i \le n-1, \\ t_{nn}, & i = n, \end{cases}$$
$$\widetilde{T}_{n}(\lambda) := \sum_{i=0}^{n} \lambda_{i} \left| \widetilde{t}_{ni} \right|.$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $\varphi(x)$ be a nonnegative convex function defined on $[0, \infty)$, $T := (t_{nj})$ be a lower triangular matrix satisfying $\sum_{j=0}^{n} t_{nj} = 1$, and let $\{\alpha_n\}$ be a nonnegative sequence. If $\lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$ is a positive sequence such that ¹

$$\lambda_n^{-1} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \alpha_j \left| \widetilde{t}_{jn} \right| \left(\widetilde{T}_j \left(\lambda^{-1} \right) \right)^{-1} = O(A_n), \ n \ge 1,$$
(1)

then

$$T \in B\left(A_n, \lambda_n; \alpha_n, \left(\widetilde{T}_n\left(\lambda^{-1}\right)\right)^{-1}; \varphi\right).$$
(2)

Proof. Since (set $s_{-1} := 0$)

$$t_n = \sum_{j=0}^n t_{nj} s_j = \sum_{j=0}^n t_{nj} \left(\sum_{i=0}^j (s_i - s_{i-1}) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^n (s_i - s_{i-1}) \left(\sum_{j=i}^n t_{nj} \right),$$

then

$$t_n - t_{n-1} = \sum_{i=0}^n (s_i - s_{i-1}) \left(\sum_{j=i}^n t_{nj} \right) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (s_i - s_{i-1}) \left(\sum_{j=i}^{n-1} t_{n-1,j} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^n \widetilde{t}_{ni} (s_i - s_{i-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \widetilde{t}_{ni} (s_i - s_{i-1}),$$

where in the last inequality, we used the fact $\tilde{t}_{n0} = 0$, which follows from $\sum_{j=0}^{n} t_{nj} = 1$ and the definition of \tilde{t}_{n0} . Therefore,

$$\left(\widetilde{T_n}\left(\lambda^{-1}\right)\right)^{-1}|t_n-t_{n-1}| \le \left(\widetilde{T_n}\left(\lambda^{-1}\right)\right)^{-1}\sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i^{-1}\left|\widetilde{t_{ni}}\right| \left(\lambda_i |s_i-s_{i-1}|\right).$$

Since

$$\left(\widetilde{T}_{n}\left(\lambda^{-1}\right)\right)^{-1}\sum_{i=0}^{n}\lambda_{i}^{-1}\left|\widetilde{t}_{ni}\right|=1,$$

by the well-known Jensen's inequality and (1), we get

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \varphi\left(\left(\widetilde{T_n}\left(\lambda^{-1}\right)\right)^{-1} |t_n - t_{n-1}|\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \varphi\left(\left(\widetilde{T_n}\left(\lambda^{-1}\right)\right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{-1} \left[\widetilde{t_{ni}}\right] (\lambda_i |s_i - s_{i-1}|) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \left(\widetilde{T_n}\left(\lambda^{-1}\right)\right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{-1} \left[\widetilde{t_{ni}}\right] \varphi \left(\lambda_i |s_i - s_{i-1}|\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi \left(\lambda_n |s_n - s_{n-1}|\right) \lambda_n^{-1} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \alpha_j \left[\widetilde{t_{jn}}\right] \left(\widetilde{T_j}\left(\lambda^{-1}\right)\right)^{-1} \\ &= O\left(1\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \varphi \left(\lambda_n |s_n - s_{n-1}|\right), \end{split}$$

¹⁾Denote by $\lambda^{-1} = \{\lambda_n^{-1}\}.$

which implies (2). \Box

3. Applications of The Main Result

Lemma 3.1 ([7]). (*i*) A_n^{α} is positive for $\alpha > -1$, increasing (as a function of *n*) for $\alpha > 0$ and decreasing for $-1 < \alpha < 0$; and $A_n^0 = 1$ for all *n*. (*ii*) $A_n^{\alpha} \simeq \frac{n^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}$.

Lemma 3.2. *For any* $\varepsilon > 0$ *, we have*

$$\sum_{n=i}^{\infty} \frac{A_{n-i}^{\alpha-1}}{n^{\varepsilon} A_{n}^{\alpha}} = O\left(i^{-\varepsilon}\right), \ \alpha \ge 0,$$
(3)

and

$$\sum_{n=i}^{\infty} \frac{\left|A_{n-i}^{\alpha-1}\right|}{n^{\varepsilon} A_{n}^{\alpha}} = O\left(i^{-\varepsilon-\alpha}\right), \ \alpha < 0.$$
(4)

Proof. When $\varepsilon > 0$, $\alpha \ge 0$, by Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} \frac{A_{n-i}^{\alpha-1}}{n^{\epsilon} A_{n}^{\alpha}} &= O\left(1\right) \left(\frac{1}{i^{\epsilon} A_{i}^{\alpha}} \sum_{n=i}^{2i} A_{n-i}^{\alpha-1} + \sum_{n=2i+1}^{\infty} \frac{A_{n-i}^{\alpha-1}}{n^{\epsilon} A_{n}^{\alpha}}\right) \\ &= O\left(1\right) \left(\frac{1}{i^{\epsilon} A_{i}^{\alpha}} \sum_{n=0}^{i} A_{n}^{\alpha-1} + \sum_{n=2i+1}^{\infty} \frac{(n-i)^{\alpha-1}}{n^{\epsilon+\alpha}}\right) \\ &= O\left(1\right) \left(i^{-\epsilon} + \sum_{n=2i+1}^{\infty} n^{-1-\epsilon}\right) \\ &= O\left(i^{-\epsilon}\right), \end{split}$$

which gives (3). When $\varepsilon > 0$, $\alpha < 0$, by Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\sum_{n=i+1}^{2i} \left| A_{n-i}^{\alpha-1} \right| = \left| \sum_{n=i+1}^{2i} A_{n-i}^{\alpha-1} \right| = \left| \sum_{n=0}^{i} A_n^{\alpha-1} - A_0^{\alpha-1} \right| = \left| A_i^{\alpha} - A_0^{\alpha-1} \right| = O(1)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=2i+1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|A_{n-i}^{\alpha-1}\right|}{n^{\varepsilon} A_{n}^{\alpha}} = O\left(1\right) \sum_{n=2i+1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(n-i\right)^{\alpha-1}}{n^{\varepsilon+\alpha}} = O\left(1\right) \sum_{n=2i+1}^{\infty} n^{-1-\varepsilon} = O\left(i^{-\varepsilon}\right),$$

Therefore, we also have (4). \Box

A non-negative sequence $\{a_n\}$ is said to be almost decreasing, if there is a positive constant K such that

$$a_n \geq Ka_m$$

holds for all $n \le m$, and it is said to be quasi- β -power increasing with some real number β , if $\{n^{\beta}a_n\}$ is almost decreasing.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\varphi(x)$ be a nonnegative convex function defined on $[0, \infty)$.

(A) If $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a nonnegative sequence such that $\{\alpha_n\}$ is quasi- ε -power decreasing for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$(C, \alpha) \in B(\alpha_n, n; \alpha_n, n; \varphi), \ \alpha \ge 0.$$

(B) If
$$k \ge 1$$
, $\delta < \frac{1}{k}$, $\gamma \in R$, then

$$(C,\alpha) \in B\left(n^{\delta k-1}\log^{\gamma} n, n; n^{\delta k-1}\log^{\gamma} n, n; \varphi\right), \ \alpha \ge 0.$$
(5)

Proof. Let

$$t_{nj} := \frac{A_{n-j}^{\alpha-1}}{A_n^{\alpha}}, \ j = 0, 1, \cdots, n; \ \alpha > -1.$$

Then, for $0 \le i \le n - 1$,

$$\widetilde{t}_{ni} = \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha}} \sum_{j=i}^n A_{n-j}^{\alpha-1} - \frac{1}{A_{n-1}^{\alpha}} \sum_{j=i}^n A_{n-1-j}^{\alpha-1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} A_j^{\alpha-1} - \frac{1}{A_{n-1}^{\alpha}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1-i} A_j^{\alpha-1}$$

$$= \frac{A_{n-i}^{\alpha}}{A_n^{\alpha}} - \frac{A_{n-1-i}^{\alpha}}{A_{n-1}^{\alpha}} = \frac{i}{n} \frac{A_{n-i}^{\alpha-1}}{A_n^{\alpha}},$$
(6)

and

$$\widetilde{t}_{nn} = \frac{A_0^{\alpha-1}}{A_n^{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha}}.$$
(7)

Taking $\lambda_n = n$, $n \ge 1$, by (6) and (7), we have

$$\widetilde{T}_{n}(\lambda^{-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{-1} \left| \widetilde{t}_{ni} \right| = \frac{1}{nA_{n}^{\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{n-i}^{\alpha-1} - \frac{A_{n}^{\alpha-1}}{nA_{n}^{\alpha}} \simeq \frac{1}{n}, \ n \ge 1.$$
(8)

By (8) and (3), we have

$$\begin{split} \lambda_n^{-1} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \alpha_j \left| \widetilde{t_{jn}} \right| \left(\widetilde{T_j} \left(\lambda^{-1} \right) \right)^{-1} &= \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} j \alpha_j \left(\frac{A_{j-n}^{\alpha-1}}{j A_j^{\alpha}} \right) \\ &= O \left(\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} j^{\varepsilon} \alpha_j \frac{A_{n-j}^{\alpha-1}}{j^{\varepsilon} A_j^{\alpha}} \right) \\ &= O \left(n^{\varepsilon} \alpha_n \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{A_{n-j}^{\alpha-1}}{j^{\varepsilon} A_j^{\alpha}} \right) \\ &= O \left(\alpha_n \right). \end{split}$$

Therefore, applying Theorem 2.1, we obtain (A). Let $\alpha_n = n^{\delta k-1} \log^{\gamma} n$, $k \ge 1$, $\delta < \frac{1}{k}$, $\gamma \in R$. Since $\delta k - 1 < 0$, there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\varepsilon + \delta k - 1 < 0$, hence $\{n^{\varepsilon}\alpha_n\}$ is almost decreasing. Therefore, (B) follows from (A). \Box

Remark. Theorem A is (5) in the special case when $\delta = \gamma = 0$ and $\varphi(x) = x^k$, $k \ge 1$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\varphi(x)$ be a nonnegative convex function defined on $[0, \infty)$.

(A) If $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a nonnegative sequence such that $\{n^{\alpha}\alpha_n\}$ is quasi- ε -power decreasing for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$(C, \alpha) \in B(\alpha_n, n; \alpha_n, n; \varphi), \quad -1 < \alpha < 0$$

(B) If
$$k \ge 1$$
, $\delta < \frac{1-\alpha}{k}$, $\gamma \in R$, then

$$(C,\alpha) \in B\left(n^{\delta k-1}\log^{\gamma} n, n; n^{\delta k-1}\log^{\gamma} n, n^{1+\alpha}; \varphi\right), \quad -1 < \alpha < 0.$$

$$(9)$$

Proof. When $-1 < \alpha < 0$, we have

$$\widetilde{T}_{n}\left(\lambda^{-1}\right) = \frac{1}{nA_{n}^{\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left|A_{n-i}^{\alpha-1}\right| = \frac{1}{nA_{n}^{\alpha}} \left|\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A_{n-i}^{\alpha-1}\right| + \frac{A_{0}^{\alpha-1}}{nA_{n}^{\alpha}} \\ = \frac{1}{nA_{n}^{\alpha}} \left|\sum_{i=0}^{n} A_{n-i}^{\alpha-1} - A_{0}^{\alpha-1}\right| + \frac{1}{nA_{n}^{\alpha}} \\ = \frac{1}{nA_{n}^{\alpha}} \left|A_{n}^{\alpha} - A_{n}^{\alpha-1} - A_{0}^{\alpha-1}\right| + \frac{1}{nA_{n}^{\alpha}} \\ \ge C_{\frac{1}{nA_{n}^{\alpha}}} \ge Cn^{-(1+\alpha)}.$$
(10)

By (6), (4), (10) and noting that $\{n^{\alpha}\alpha_n\}$ is quasi- ε -power decreasing with $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\begin{split} \lambda_n^{-1} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \alpha_j \left| \widetilde{t_{jn}} \right| \left(\widetilde{T_j} \left(\lambda^{-1} \right) \right)^{-1} &= O\left(1 \right) \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} j^{1+\alpha} \alpha_j \left(\frac{\left| A_{j-n}^{\alpha-1} \right|}{j A_j^{\alpha}} \right) \\ &= O\left(1 \right) \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} j^{\alpha+\varepsilon} \alpha_j \frac{\left| A_{j-n}^{\alpha-1} \right|}{j^{\varepsilon} A_j^{\alpha}} \\ &= O\left(n^{\alpha+\varepsilon} \alpha_n \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{\left| A_{j-n}^{\alpha-1} \right|}{j^{\varepsilon} A_j^{\alpha}} \right) \\ &= O\left(\alpha_n \right), \end{split}$$

which together with Theorem A yields to (A).

(B) can be deduced from (A) directly. \Box

Theorem 3.5. Let $\varphi(x)$ be a nonnegative convex function defined on $[0, \infty)$, $\{\alpha_n\}$ be a nonnegative sequence and $\lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$ be a positive sequence. Let $T = (t_{nj})$ be a lower triangular matrix with the entries having the form $\frac{p_j}{P_n}$, where $p_j > 0$ for $0 \le j \le n$ and $P_n = \sum_{j=0}^n p_j$. If

$$n\lambda_n^{-1}P_{n-1} = O\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{-1}P_{i-1}\right),\tag{11}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_j \lambda_j}{j P_{j-1}} = O\left(\frac{\alpha_n \lambda_n}{P_{n-1}}\right),\tag{12}$$

then

$$T \in B\left(\alpha_n, \lambda_n; \alpha_n, \frac{\lambda_n P_n}{np_n}; \varphi\right).$$

Proof. First, we have

$$\widetilde{t}_{ni} = \sum_{j=i}^{n} t_{nj} - \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} t_{nj}$$

$$= \frac{p_n}{P_n} + \left(\frac{1}{P_n} - \frac{1}{P_{n-1}}\right) \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} p_j$$

$$= \frac{p_n}{P_n} - \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \left(P_{n-1} - P_{i-1}\right)$$

$$= \frac{p_n P_{i-1}}{P_n P_{n-1}}, \ 1 \le i \le n-1,$$
(13)

and

$$\widetilde{t}_{n0} = 0, \ \widetilde{t}_{nn} = \frac{p_n}{P_n}.$$
(14)

By (11), we have

$$\left(\widetilde{T}_n\left(\lambda^{-1}\right)\right)^{-1} = \left(\frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}}\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{-1} P_{i-1}\right)^{-1} = O\left(\frac{\lambda_n P_n}{np_n}\right).$$
(15)

By (12)-(14), we have

$$\lambda_n^{-1} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \alpha_j \left| \widetilde{t}_{jn} \right| \left(\widetilde{T}_j \left(\lambda^{-1} \right) \right)^{-1} = O\left(\lambda_n^{-1} P_{n-1} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_j \lambda_j}{j P_{j-1}} \right)$$
$$= O\left(\alpha_n \right).$$
(16)

We obtain Theorem 3.5 by combining Theorem 2.1 with (15) and (16). $\hfill\square$

Now, we give a special application of Theorem 3.5.

Let

$$p_0 = 1, \ p_n = n^{\alpha}, \ n \ge 1, \alpha > -1,$$

$$\lambda_n = n, n \ge 1,$$

and

$$\alpha_n=n^{\delta k-1},\;n\geq 1,\;\;k>0,\;\delta<\frac{1+\alpha}{k}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{-1} P_{i-1} \simeq \sum_{i=1}^n i^\alpha \simeq n^{\alpha+1} \simeq n \lambda_n^{-1} P_{n-1},$$

and (note that $\delta k - 2 - \alpha < -1$)

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_j \lambda_j}{j P_{j-1}} &= O\left(1\right) \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} j^{\delta k-2-\alpha} \\ &= O\left(n^{-\delta k-1-\alpha}\right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{\alpha_n \lambda_n}{P_{n-1}}\right), \end{split}$$

Therefore, Theorem 3.5 yields to

$$T \in B\left(n^{\delta k-1}, n; n^{\delta k-1}, n; \varphi\right).$$

In particular, taking $\delta = 0$, $\varepsilon = 1$, $k \ge 1$, we have $T \in B(A_k)$.

References

- [1] H. Bor, A note on two summability methods, Proc. amer. Math. Soc., 98(1986), 81–84.
- H. Bor, A new application of quasi power increasing sequences. II, Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2013, 2013:75 doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-75.
- [3] G. Das, A tauberian theorem for absolute summability, Proc. Cambridge. Philos. Soc. 67(1970), 321–326.
- [4] T. M. Flett, On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley, Proc. London Math. Soc., 7 (1957), 113–141.
- [5] M. A. Sarigöl, Matrix operators on sequence A_k, Math. Comput. Modelling, 55(2012), 1763–1769.
- [6] D. S. Yu, On a result of Flett for Cesáro matrices, Appl. Math. Letter, 22(2009), 1803–1809.
- [7] A. Zygmund, Trigonometric Series, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1977.